# APPENDIX A ADVANCE LETTER

Department of Landscape Architecture, Box 23 Kansas State University Manhattan, Kansas 66506 April 4, 1988

Your Name Your Address

Dear Mr. Name:

During the week of April 11-15, I will be calling you as part of a study on recreational trails. We are collecting information on what people think about the Root River and Luce Line Trails.

I am writing you at this time because many people appreciate being advised that a research study is in progress and they will be contacted by phone. The interview should take about 10 minutes. If I call at an inconvenient time, please let me know and I will call back later. I will be happy to answer any questions you might have during the interview. Or you may contact me by phone at (913) 539-1822 or by mail.

Your participation is voluntary and you are free not to answer any of the questions. But your help along with others being asked to participate, is essential in finding out the effectiveness of the trails. Your individual answers will be kept confidential and there are no foreseeable risks to you. The results of the study will be shared with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated. I look forward to talking with you.

Cordially,

Lenny Mazour Project Director

# APPENDIX B

# LANDOWNER QUESTIONNAIRE TELEPHONE SURVEY

# LANDOWNER QUESTIONNAIRE

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail ID #
2.	Address and/or county, township, range, section:
3.	Telephone:
4.	Hello, is this the
	Yes No. Thank you. Call back later at
	If no advance letter: Since your participation is voluntary, feel free not to answer any of the questions.
	I would like to start by asking you about the Root River / Luce Line Trail and your property.
5.	Do you live or work next to the trail?
	_Yes _No
6.	Do you own or rent property next to the trail?
	_Own (5 yes - go to 7) _Rent _No
	If Own & 5 No: Do you rent out your property? Yes No
	If yes: I would also like to interview the renter. Can you give me his name and address? (go to 7)

If rent: I would also like to me his name and address? (go to	interview the owner. Can you give 7)
If no: Did you at any time own YesNo  If no: STOP Thank you for If yes: I would like to you give me his name and ac	r your time. interview the present owner. Can
7. How much land do you own / rent	that is part to the trail?
•	
Acres	Size
8. How does the trail run through yo	our property? Does it:
a. form an outside boundary of your be run parallel to your boundary c. run diagonally through your lade other	line inside your property
	operty next to your land is used. ollowing types that <u>border</u> your
a. Residentialb. Commercialc. Public use	e. Pasture f. Woods g. Marsh
d. Cropland  10. I would like to know how you use Answer yes, to each of the follo of your land next to the trail.	h. Otherh. Other
a. Residentialb. Commercialc. Public used. Cropland	e. Pasturef. Woodsg. Marshh. Other
11. Did you own / rent this property	before the trail was developed?
Yes (go to 13) No (go to 12)	

12.			cided to buy / rent the property, what did you think of Was it a:
	_desi _no f _unde _high	rable fe actor sirable	
13.			railroad right-of-way was sold to the state, what was on on the trail?
	_in f _agai _unsu	nst	different
14.	by you the if con	the stat r concer state. it was: cern for	f-way for the Root River / Luce Line Trail was acquired to about 10 / 15 years ago. I would like to find out ins about the trail <u>before</u> this land was purchased by As I read each statement, I would like you to recall 1) a major concern, 2) a minor concern, or 3) not yourself or your property. Remember, I want your u had about the trail 10 / 15 years ago.
maj	min	no	The trail will cause access problems on my land.
maj	min	no	The trail will interrupt the drainage on my land
maj	min	no	The trail will reduced the productive use of my land.
maj	min	no	The trail will prevent my purchase of the right-of-
	<b>-</b>		way.
maj maj	min min	no no	The trail will cause weed problems on my property.  The trail will make me feel less secure about my
шај	mTII	110	safety.
maj	min	no	The trail will reduce the value of my property.
maj		no	Trail users will interrupt my privacy.
maj	min	no	Noise from trail users could become a problem.
maj	min	no	Trail users may steal from my property.
maj	min	no	Trail users will harass my farm animals.
maj	min	no	Vandalism on my property will increase because of the trail.
maj	min	no	Damage to my property from fire could be a problem
maj	min	no	Trail users will litter on the trail & my property
maj	min	no	Trespassing on my property will increase because of
- J			the trail.
maj	min	no	Major crime by trail users could be a problem.
maj	min	no	I may be liable for trail users in case of an
			accident.
maj	min	no	The trail will not be maintained very well by the
			state.
maj	min	no	Other concerns_

	would like to find out any <u>current</u> problems you may be experience the trail at this time. As I read each statement, indicated if	
	1) a major problem, 2) a minor problem, or 3) no problem.	- •
maj	min no Trail users ask me for help.	
maj	min no Trail users trespass on my property.	
maj	min no Trail users litter.	
maj	min no Trail users steal from my property.	
maj	min no Trail users are too noisy.	
maj	min no Trail users harass my farm animals.	
maj	min no Trail users cause vandalism on my property.	
maj maj	min no The trail causes access problems to my property. min no The trail reduces the productive use of my land.	
maj	min no The trail causes drainage problems on my land.	
maj	min no The trail causes weed problems on my property.	
maj	min no The trail reduces my privacy on my land.	
maj	min no Other problems_	
J		
16.	I would like to find out your <u>current</u> opinions of the trail. As read each statement, indicate if you agree or disagree with the statement. Your choices are: 1) agree, 2) disagree, or 3) a unsure or indifferent.	at
A D	I Local people use and enjoy the trail.	
A D		
A D	•	
A D		
A D	•	
A D	I The Department of Natural Resources patrols the trail oft enough to control the users.	en
A D		оb
A D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A D	I I feel less secure about my safety on my property due to t trail.	he
17.	How do you feel the trail has affected the value of your propert	у?
	_greatly increased the value	
	increased the value	
	no affect	
	_lowered the value	
	greatly lowered the value	
18.	Do you have any particular experience or evidence that makes y feel this way?	ou

19.	Is there a house, commercial bui your property?	lding, or	publi:	c use structure on
	house _commercial building _public use _no (go to 21)	_		
20.	How far is (your house / this st the trail?	ructure)	from t	he nearest part of
	miles rods		f	t/yds
21.	How long have you owned / rentedYears	this prop	perty?	
22.	At the present time, what do you	think of	the tr	ail? Is it a
	_highly desirable feature _desirable feature _no factor _undesirable feature _highly undesirable feature			, -
	If undesirable: Is there anyth trail more acceptable.	ing that	can be	done to make the
23.	If you were the manager, which a the trail? As I read each activi it <u>Doesn't Matter</u> .			
Y N	DM a. Picnicking	Y N DM	f.	Snowmobiling
YN		Y N DM Y N DM	_	Hunting
YNYN	DM d. Motorized trail biking			Horseback riding Cross-country
Y N Y N	DM e. Bicycling DM f. Walking or jogging	Y N DM	j.	skiing Other
24.	Has a trail user ever come to you	for help	?	
	_Yes			
	No  If yes: Which of the followard in the second in the	•	e they	asked for:

25.	Have you or your (family $\!\!\!\!/$ employees) used the trail this past year?
	Yes No
	If yes: As I read each activity, indicate your use of the trail by answering: 1) Often, 2) Sometimes, or 3) Never.
O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N O S N	b. Cross-country skiing c. Horseback riding d. Bicycling e. Camping f. Walking or hiking g. Commuting h. Picnicking
26.	What do you like most about the trail?
27.	What do you like least about the trail?
28.	Sex of the respondent?
	Male Female
29.	May I ask how old you are?
	age
OPTIO	NAL: To determine location of additional owners along the trail.
30.	I would also like to interview
	a. who owns property at of your land.
	Can you give me his address and phone number?

# APPENDIX C

# COVER LETTER & LANDOWNER QUESTIONNAIRE - MAIL SURVEY

Department of Landscape Architecture, Box 23 Seaton Hall Kansas State University Manhattan, Kansas 66506 April 23, 1988

Your Name Your Address

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Name:

During the week of April 11-15, I was contacting landowners who own property next to the Root River or Luce Line Trails as part of a research study. Since I was unable to reach you by phone, I am writing to ask you for your opinions about this trail by filling out the enclosed questionnaire.

Your participation is voluntary and you are free not to answer any of the questions. But your help along with others being asked to participate, is essential in finding out the effectiveness of the Luce Line Trail. Your individual answers will be kept confidential and there are no foreseeable risks to you. The results of the study will be shared with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources.

Please mail the questionnaire in the envelope provided by Friday, May 6. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me by phone at (913) 539-1822 or by mail. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated. I look forward to receiving your comments.

Cordially,

Lenny Mazour Project Director

# LANDOWNER QUESTIONNAIRE

	Indicate your response by place an "X" in the space provided
1.	Do you live or work next to the (Root River/Luce Line) Trail
	Yes No
2.	Do you own or rent property next to this trail?
	_Own _Rent _No If no: STOP Thank you for your time.
***	*************
3.	How much land do you own (or rent) that is next to the trail?
	Acres ORSize -
4.	How does the trail run through your property? Does it:
	_a. form an outside boundary of your property _b. run parallel to your boundary line inside your property _c. run diagonally through your land _d. other
5.	Indicate with an "X" in the space provided, how the property next to your land is used. You may have more than one answer.
	a. Residential b. Commercial c. Public use d. Cropland g. Pasture f. Woods g. Marsh h. Other
6.	Indicate with an "X" in the space provided, your current use of your property next to the trail. You may have more than one answer.
	a. Residence b. Commercial c. Public use d. Cropland e. Pasture f. Woods g. Marsh h. Other
7.	Did you own (or rent) this property before the trail was developed?  Yes If yes: Go to question 9  No If no: Go to question 8
****	**************************************

8.	Whe thi	n you o	lecided to buy (or rent) your property, what did you ne trail? Was it a:
		ly desir rable fe	able feature
		actor	
		sirable	feature
	_high	ly undes	rirable feature
	Go	to quest	ion 11
	****	*****	**************************************
9.			railroad right-of-way was sold to the state, what was on on the trail?
	in f	avor	
	_agai		
	_unsu	re or in	different
10.	The	right-o	f-way for the (Root River/Luce Line) Trail was acquired
	bу	the stat	te about $(10/15)$ years ago. I would like to find out
	you	r concer	ens about the trail before this land was purchased by
	the	state.	For each statement, indicate (by circling your
	res	ponse) 1	f it was: 1) a major concern (maj); 2) a minor concern-
			3) no concern (no) for yourself or your property.
			want your concerns you had about the trail $(10/15)$
	yea	rs ago.	
maj	min	no	The trail will cause access problems on my land.
maj	min	no	The trail will interrupt the drainage on my land.
maj	min	no	The trail will reduced the productive use of my land.
maj	min	no	The trail will prevent my purchase of the ROW.
maj	min	no	The trail will cause weed problems on my property.
maj	min	no	The trail will make me feel less secure about my
J			safety.
maj	min	no	The trail will reduce the value of my property.
maj		no	Trail users will interrupt my privacy.
maj	min	no	Noise from trail users could become a problem.
maj	min	no	Trail users may steal from my property.
maj	min	no	Trail users will harass my farm animals.
maj	min	no	Vandalism on my property will increase because of the
			trail.
maj	min	no	Damage to my property from fire could be a problem
maj	min	no	Trail users will litter on the trail & my property
maj	min	no	Trespassing on my property will increase because of
			the trail.
maj	min	no	Major crime by trail users could be a problem.
maj	min	no	I may be liable for trail users in case of an
_			accident.
maj	min	no	The trail will not be maintained very well by the
	•		state.
maj	min	no xxxxxxxxx	Other concerns_ ************************
V V V V V	・ベベベベバ	^ ******** <b>*</b>	^^^^^^

11	•	exp ind	would like to find out any <u>current</u> problems you may be periencing with the trail at this time. For each statement, dicated (by circling your response) if it is: 1) a major oblem (maj); 2) a minor problem (min); or 3) no problem (no).
ma	i	min	no Trail users ask me for help.
ma	_	min	no Trail users trespass on my property.
ma	_	min	no Trail users litter.
ma	-	min	no Trail users steal from my property.
ma	_	min	no Trail users are too noisy.
ma	_	min	no Trail users harass my farm animals.
πа	Τ.	min	no Trail users cause vandalism on my property.
ma	_	min	no The trail causes access problems to my property.
ma	-	min	no The trail reduces the productive use of my land.
ma	_	min	no The trail causes drainage problems on my land.
ma	-	min	no The trail causes weed problems on my property.
ma	-	min	
ma	_	min	
12	•	eac or	would like to find out your <u>current</u> opinions of the trail. For the statement, indicate (by circling your response) if you agree disagree with that statement. Your choices are: 1) agree (A); disagree (D); or 3) are unsure or indifferent (I).
A	D	I	Local people use and enjoy the trail.
A	D	I	Having the trail has benefited the local economy.
A	D	Ι	The trail is a worthwhile expenditure of public money.
A	D	I	Summer trail users cause few problems.
A	D	I	Winter trail users cause few problems.
A	D	Ι	The Department of Natural Resources patrols the trail often enough to control the users.
A	D	I	The Department of Natural Resources does a good job maintaining the trail.
A	D	I	The trail has increased the rate of violent crime.
	D	Ι	I feel less secure about my safety on my property due to the trail.
13	•	How	do you feel the trail has affected the value of your property?
		grea	tly increased the value
			eased the value
		no a	ffect
		lowe	red the value
		grea	tly lowered the value
14.			you have any particular experience or evidence that makes you l this way?

15.	ls there	e a house, commercial building, or public use structure on perty?
	_ public us	1 building e Go to question 17
****	******	**************************************
16.	How far	is this structure from the nearest part of the trail?
	miles	OR rods OR yds OR ft
****	*****	**************
17.	How long	have you owned (or rented) this property?
		Years
18.	At the p	resent time, what do you think of the trail? Is it-a
	_highly un If undes	feature le feature desirable feature rirable: Is there anything that can be done to make the re acceptable.
19.	allow on	ere the manager, which activities would you encourage or the trail? For each activity, circle your response. ices are: 1) Yes; 2) No; or 3) it Doesn't Matter (DM).
Yes Yes	No DM No DM	Picnicking Snowmobiling
Yes Yes	No DM No DM	Camping Hunting
Yes	No DM	Night time use
Yes Yes	No DM No DM	Horseback riding Motorized trail biking
Yes	No DM	Cross-country skiing
Yes	No DM	Bicycling
Yes Yes	No DM No DM	Walking or jogging Other
762	140 013	O LITE I

20.	Has a trail user ever come to you for help?
	Yes
21.	Have you or your family (employees) used the trail this past year?
	_Yes _No
	If yes: For each activity, indicate your use of the trail by circling your response. Your choices are: 1) often (0); 2) sometimes (S); or 3) never (N).
	O S N Snowmobiling O S N Cross-country skiing O S N Horseback riding O S N Bicycling O S N Camping O S N Walking or hiking O S N Commuting O S N Picnicking O S N Other
22.	What do you like most about the trail?
23.	What do you like least about the trail?
24.	Sex of the respondent?
	_Male _Female
25.	Age of the respondent?
	age

## APPENDIX D

## HUMAN SUBJECTS COMMITTEE APPROVAL



### Department of Architecture

College of Architecture and Design Seaton Hall Manhattan, Kansas 66506 913-532-5953

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Lenny Mazour

FROM:

Lyn Norris-Baker

SUBJECT: Review of Proposed Research

DATE:

29 March 1988

The members of the College of Architecture and Design Subcommittee of the Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects have reviewed the revised materials you have submitted. They have approved the conduct of your research according to the procedures that you have described. Approval is effective immediately.

Any changes in procedures from those described in the application and the proposal must be approved through the College Subcommittee. Please remember that you are responsible for keeping the Subcommittee informed of your progress, any problems that arise involving any of the subjects, and the final completion of the project.



### **Department of Architecture**

College of Architecture and Design Seaton Hall Manhattan, Kansas 66506 913-532-5953

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Lenny Mazour

FROM: Lyn Norris-Baker Lyo

SUBJECT: Review of Proposed Research

DATE: 6 May 1988

The members of the College of Architecture and Design Subcommittee of the Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects have reviewed the revisions you have proposed. They have approved the conduct of your research according to the procedures that you have described. Approval is effective immediately.

Any changes in procedures from those described in the application and the proposal must be approved through the College Subcommittee. Please remember that you are responsible for keeping the Subcommittee informed of your progress, any problems that arise involving any of the subjects, and the final completion of the project.

# APPENDIX E

# OWNER IDENTIFICATION PROCESS

500 LAFAYETTE ROAD • ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA • 55155-40\_52\_

DNR INFORMATION (612) 296-6157

March 30, 1988

Charlie Regnier, Research Analyst MN DNR - Trails and Waterways 500 Lafayette Rd. Box 52 St. Paul, MN 55155-4052

Lenny Mazour, Project Director 715 Canfield Drive Manhattan, Kansas 66502

Dear Lenny:

I am enclosing the lists of landowners with properties adjoining the Root River and Luce Line Trails which you requested in your communications with Dan Collins. Also enclosed are photo copies of the lists which were used in the 1979 adjoining landowners survey conducted on the Douglas and Heartland Trails. I will include a few words of description/instruction for the use of each list and turn them over to you.

The Root River Trail list was compiled from the Fillmore County Atlas and Plat Book, 1983-85. I checked the current names against the list that was compiled for the 1979 survey. Where they matched and there was a telephone number on the old list, I included it on the new list. I enlisted the assistance of Craig Blommer from our Rochester Office to identify the adjacent landowners within the city of Lanesboro. A copy is enclosed of the list sent to him by Deborah Erickson of Lanesboro.

The list for the Luce Line Trail starts at Watertown in Carver County. The first 15 names are from the 1984 Carver County Atlas and Plat Book.

The identification of property owners in Hennepin County required me to spend a day at the Hennepin County Government Center in Minneapolis. There I used half section maps to record section, township, range, quarter-quarter, property identification numbers, and on which side of the trail the property was located for each of the 266 individual adjoining pieces of property identified. The next step was to input each series of numbers at a computer terminal and record the owner/taxpayer name and address.

When my list was complete, I went over it again to put all

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

#### page 2

pieces of property owned by a single entity under one number. The new count which consolidates ownership and omits the parcels owned by the state reduces the list to 203 separate owners in Hennepin County, 125 of which lie on the north side, 78 of which lie on the south side, and 14 of which are intersected by the trail.

Other things I have identified on the Hennepin County landowners list are: the name of the taxpayer, if different from the owner; and the address of the property, if different from that of the owner/taxpayer.

I recorded the property identification numbers from the westernmost point in Hennepin County to Vicksburg Lane in Plymouth, making one list which includes the parcels intersected by the trail and those which lie to the north or south of the trail. Separate lists for north side and south side owners can be constructed by going through my list from beginning to end and recording the north side owners on one list and the south side owners on another in the same order with which they occur in my list.

If you have questions feel free to call me at the number `below. Good luck with your sampling. I look forward to meeting you and to seeing the results of your survey.

Sincerely, Charlie Regnier

Charlie Lames

(612) 296-7043

# APPENDIX F COMMENTS OF LANDOWNERS

NO. OF OWNERS	OTHER CURRENT PROBLEMS WITH THE TRAILS
5	Unauthorized motor vehicles/snowmobiles on the trails.
4	Concern for safety on the trail with exposing incidents or other problems.
4	Horses using the main trail.
4	Meeting dogs on the trail.
4	Dogs from the trail trespassing on my property.
3	Poor maintenance of cutting trees in ROW.
2	Fee for cross-country skiing on the trail.
2	People parking/driving on my property.
1	Cutting down too much brush.
1	Snowmobiles are threat when cross-country skiing.
1	Feel less secure about safety in house.
1	Trail users leave gates open for animals.
1	Fix fence.
1	Property used for bathroom facilities.
1	Lack of enforcement of regulations.
1	Bicycles need bells to warn walkers.
1	Trail users harass my pets.
1	Railroad ties piled near my property.

NO. OF OWNERS	MOST DESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TRAILS
=========	=======================================
31	Close, flat place for people to walk, bike, or ski.
17	Close, scenic natural area in the country with wildlife.
8	Nothing, not much.
4	Trail well maintained.
3	Enjoy using and watching people on the trail.
2	Good addition to community or area.
2	Brings business to area.
1	Increases the value of my property.
1	Relaxing on the trail.
1	Meeting and talking to people on the trail.

NO. OF OWNERS	LEAST DESIRABLE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TRAILS
18 9 6 6 4 3 3	Nothing. Motor vehicles/snowmobiles on the trail. Lack of privacy. Easy access to my property for too many people. Safety for yourself on the trail. Motorized vehicles/snowmobiles trespassing and noise. Trail getting too crowded and noisy.
2 2 2 2 2 2	Weed problems. Wanted to purchase the ROW. Trespassing on my property. Litter on the trail and parking lot. Misuse or abuse of the trail. Mosquitoes.
1 1 1 1	Dogs and owners harass my cattle. Lack of signs showing information of the area. Spent too much money. Don't like the increase of tourism in town. Poor maintenance of the trail.
1 1 1	Fee for cross-country skiing. No paved trail surface. Trail too flat and boring. Allows rough use of the trail, should protect for pastoral activities.
1 1	Not adequately patrolled.  Some on-grade road intersections are not safe for trail users.

# APPENDIX G INTERVIEW FORMS

## TRAIL MANAGER INTERVIEW FORM

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail
2.	Trail Manager Name:
3.	Address of Manager:
4.	Phone:
	CONVERSION
	My first set of questions are about the trail when it was converted from a railroad right-of-way.
5.	Where you working with this trail at that time?
6.	How long have you been the manager?
7.	Before the trail was established, did nearby landowners raise any organized opposition to the project?
8.	What were the landowners specific reasons for the opposition?
9.	Where there any local residents who where supportive of the trail?

10.	a. Looking at the Root River from Fountain to Lanesboro, where there certain parts of the trail where landowners were more supportive or more opposed to the trail? Why?
	b. Looking at the Luce Line from Plymouth to Watertown, where there certain parts of the trail where landowners were more supportive or more opposed to the trail? Why?
CURR	ENT CONDITIONS
	The next set of questions are about current conditions and activities on or near the trail?
11.	What types of land use are adjacent to the trail?
12.	Do different types of land use affect the management of the trail?
13.	How many people use the trail during the year? What percent are summer users? What percent are winter users?
14.	Do local people use the trail?
15.	Do local organization use the trail?

16.	Which activities are the most popular on the trail?
17.	What do you think are the most attractive features of the trail?
18.	What do you think are the least desirable features of the trail?
19.	At the present time, how do local people view the trail?
LAND	OWNER COMPLAINTS
	The following questions concern landowner complaints.
20.	Do you receive any complaints from adjacent landowners about the activities of trail users? Activities such as trespassing, vandalism, theft, noise, or littering?
21.	Do landowners object to any particular type of recreational activity on the trail?
22.	To these one difference in the two and frequency of smallers
	Is there any difference in the type and frequency of problems caused by summer and winter trail users?

23.	How often do trail users ask adjacent landowners for help? If it is a problem: What could be done to change the situation?
24.	Do you receive any complaints from adjacent landowners about maintenance of the trail? (weed problems)
CRIME	
	The next set of questions are about rule enforcement and crime.
25.	Who are the conservation officers that patrol the (Root River Trail / Luce Line Trail from Plymouth to Watertown)?
26.	How often do the conservation officers (DNR) patrol the trail?
27.	Do the local police patrol the trail?
28.	Are there any types of crime which have caused problems on the trail? (violent crime, trespassing, vandalism, theft, littering)
<del></del>	

29.	Which law enforcement agencies have jurisdiction in case of crime?
PROP	PERTY VALUATION
	The last set of question are about property values and possible benefits to local businesses.
30.	Has the trail helped the local economy? How?
31.	Have certain types of businesses located next to the trail is order to take advantage of some of its benefits?
32.	What affect does the trail have on real estate values for residential property?
33.	What affect does the trail have on real estate values for commercial property?
<del></del>	
34.	What affect does the trail have on real estate values for agricultural lands?
35.	Do you have any final comments about the trail?

# CONSERVATION OFFICER INTERVIEW FORM

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail
2.	Name of Conservation Officer:
3.	Address:
4.	Telephone:
5.	Hello, may I speak with?
	Hello, this is Lenny Mazour from Kansas State University and I am working with Dan Collins in Trails and Waterways in St. Paul. I am collecting information about crime on and near the Root River / Luce Line Trail gave me you name and suggested I talk with you.
	I understand you (are / were) (one of) the conservation officer(s) on the Root River / Luce Line Trail.
	<ul><li>a. What section of the trail do (did) you patrol?</li><li>b. Do you patrol the entire trail from Lanesboro to Fountain?</li></ul>
6.	How often do (did) you go over the trail?
7.	Are there any types of crime or infractions which have caused problems on the trail and adjacent property? (theft, vandalism, fire damage, litter, trespass, violent crime)
8.	For what violations do (did) you issue the most warnings and fines on the trail?

9.	If a crime has been committed on or near the trail, which law enforcement agency has jurisdiction?
	·
10.	Do you received any complaints from adjacent landowners about the activities of trail users? (trespassing, vandalism, theft, fires, crop damage, litter, noisy trail users)
11.	Do you have more problems with violations or landowner complaints during the winter or the summer?
12.	Do certain locations along the trail cause more problems than other parts? Why?
13.	Do you have any final comment about the trail?

## LAW ENFORCEMENT INTERVIEW FORM

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail
2.	Name of Agency:
3.	Address:
4.	Telephone:
5.	Hello, may I speak with the (county sheriff / public relations officer / police chief / administrator familiar with crime statistics on the Root River / Luce Line Trail)?
	Hello, this is Lenny Mazour from Kansas State University and I am working in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. I am collecting information about crime on and near the Root River / Luce Line Trail. Can I take about 10 minutes of your time to ask you some questions?
	Yes No Call back later at
	**************************************
SHERT	FFS AND POLICE CHIEFS
6.	First, I would like to know the number of people on your staff and how long you have served as head?
7.	How large an area is your jurisdiction and how many people does it serve?
****	**************************************
8.	Are there any types of crime or infractions which have caused problems on the trail or adjacent property? (theft, vandalism, fire damage, litter, trespass, violent crime)
	·

9.	Have you received any complaints from landowners who live along the trail? (trespassing, vandalism, theft, fires, crop damage, litter, noisy trail users) How big is the problem?
<del></del>	
10.	Do you have more problems with complaints during the winter or the summer?
11.	Do certain location along the trail cause more problems than other parts? Why?
12.	How would you characterize the trail user?
13.	Has the trail increased the workload of your department? Did you have to increase the size of your staff?
14.	Has there been an increase of traffic or congestion near the trail and has it been a problem?
<del></del>	
15.	Do you have any final comments about (your experiences with) the trail?

# COUNTY COMMISSION INTERVIEW FORM

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail
2.	County:
3.	Name of County Commissioner:
4.	Address:
5.	Telephone:
6.	Hello, may I speak with ? This is Lenny Mazour from Kansas State University and I am working in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. I am collecting information on the desirability of living next to the Root River / Luce Line Trail. Can I take about 10 minutes of your time to ask you some questions?
	Yes (go to 7) No (go to 16) Call back later at
7.	First, how has the trail affected the quality of life for people living near the trail?
8.	Would you encourage the construction of more trails in this county?
9.	What affect does crime, such as trespassing, litter, and theft have on property adjacent to the trail?

10.	What affect does the trail have on the value of property near the trail?
11.	Does the trail benefit the local economy?
12.	Comparing this trail to other recreational facilities, how do you value it as a capital asset?
13.	What do you think of the management and maintenance of the trail?
14.	Have you received any complaints about the trail?
15.	Do you have any additional comments about the trail?
OPTI(	ONAL: To identify additional commissioners.
16.	Are there any other commissioners who have (additional) knowledge about the trail?

### APPRAISER INTERVIEW FORM

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail
2.	Name of Agency:
3.	Address:
4.	Telephone:
5.	Hello, may I speak with an appraiser familiar with property values near the Root River / Luce Line Trail in(city/county)?
	This is Lenny Mazour from Kansas State University and I am working in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. I am collecting information on the affect of real estate values on property adjacent to the Root River / Luce Line Trail. Can I take about 10 minutes of your time to ask you some questions?
	Yes (go to 6)
	No (go to 19) Call back later at
6.	First, what is your name?
7 <b>.</b>	Is it your job to appraise real estate within
•	(city/county) near the Root River / Luce Line Trail?
	Yes (go to 8) No
	If No: I would like to interview that appraiser. Can you give me his name and phone number. Thank you for your time.
8.	I would like to know how long you have worked as an appraiser at this location?
9.	What affect does the trail have on the value of residential property next to the trail?
	increased the value (go to 10) decreased the value (go to 10) no affect (go to 13)

10.	Based on similar property, what percent higher / lower is the value of residential property next to the trail as compared to property not affected by the trail?
	adjacent property is % higher than other property adjacent property is % lower than other property
11.	Based on similar property, is there any difference in value between residential property adjacent to the trail as compared with residential property in the vicinity of the trail?
	adjacent property is % higher than property near trail adjacent property is % lower than property near trail no difference
12.	How far away from the trail does the trail influence residential property values?
13.	What affect does the trail have on the value of commercial property next to the trail?
	increased the value (go to 14) decreased the value (go to 14) no affect (go to 15)
14.	Based on similar property, what percent higher / lower is the value of commercial property next to the trail as compared to property not affected by the trail?
	adjacent property is % higher than other property adjacent property is % lower than other property
15.	Have certain types of businesses located next to the trail in order to take advantage of some of its benefits?
16.	Do you know what affect the trail has on the value of farmland next to the trail?
	increased the value (go to 17) decreased the value (go to 17) no affect (go to 18) don't know (go to 18)

17.	value of farmland next to the trail as compared to property not affected by the trail?	
	adjacent property is % higher than other property adjacent property is % lower than other property	
18.	Do you have any additional comments about the trail in regard to land prices?	
	ONAL: To identify additional appraisers.  I would like to interview the appraiser for	
	Can you give me his name and phone number.	

### REAL ESTATE AGENT INTERVIEW FORM

1.	Root River / Luce Line Trail
2.	Name of Agency:
3.	Address:
4.	Telephone:
5.	Hello, this is Lenny Mazour from Kansas State University and I am working in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. I am collecting information on the desirability of living next to the Root River / Luce Line Trail.
	a. May I speak with a representative or principal of your agency who has information or experience with property near the Root River / Luce Line Trail?
	Yes No Call back later at
	b. Can I take about 10 minutes of your time to ask you some questions about property values near the trail?
	Yes No Call back later at
6.	Name of agent
6a.	What are your credentials? (licensed broker)
7.	How long have you been an agent at this location?
8.	Does you agency broker the sale of property next to or close by the trail?
	_Yes (go to 9) _No (go to 10)

9.	What types of property near the trail does your agency handle?
	Residential
	Commercial
	Farmland
	Other
10.	I would like to know what effect the trail has on the value of different types of property? Of the following land uses, indicate which types you have <u>some knowledge</u> or <u>past experience</u> in relationship to the Root River / Luce Line Trail.
	Residential (go to 11)
	_Commercial (go to 20)
	Farmland (go to 28)
	Other land uses None <b>(STOP)</b> (go to 36)
The	following questions concern residential property.
11.	If you were selling residential property close to the trail, how-would your agency view the trail?
	highly desirable feature
	desirable feature
	_no factor
	undesirable feature
	_highly undesirable feature
12.	Do you have a market strategy based on this view?
13.	What affect does the trail have on the value of residential property next to the trail?
	increased the value (go to 14)
	decreased the value (go to 14)
	no affect (go to 17)
14.	Based on similar property, what percent higher / lower is the
T.4.	value of residential property next to the trail as compared to
	property not affected by the trail?
	adjacent property is $\_$ % higher than other property adjacent property is $\_$ % lower than other property

15.	Based on similar property, is there any difference in value between residential property adjacent to the trail as compared with residential property in the vicinity of the trail?
	_adjacent property is % higher than property near trail adjacent property is % lower than property near trail no difference
16.	How far away from the trail does the trail influence property values?
17.	Has your agency experienced any prospective buyers who were pleased or disappointed with the proximity of the trail?
18.	Are there any positive or negative features of the trail which have influenced residential property valuations?
19.	How has the trail affected the quality of the residential neighborhood? (go to 20 commercial; 28 farmland; or 35)
•	exxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
20.	If you were selling commercial property near the trail, how would your agency view the trail?
	_highly desirable feature _desirable feature _no factor _undesirable feature _highly undesirable feature

21.	Do you have a market strategy based on this view?
22.	What affect does the trail have on the value of commercial property next to the trail?
	_increased the value (go to 23) _decreased the value (go to 23) _no affect (go to 24)
23.	Based on similar property, what percent higher / lower is the value of commercial property next to the trail as compared to property not affected by the trail?  adjacent property % higher than other property adjacent property % lower than other property
24.	Has the trail benefited the local economy?
25.	Have certain types of businesses located next to the trail in order to take advantage of some of its benefits?
26.	What are the benefits to commerce? (transportation link, aesthetic image, recreational use for staff)
27.	Are there any negative features of the trail which have influenced commercial property valuations. (go to 28 farmland; or 35)
****	**************************************

(rei	er to question 10) The following questions concern farmland.
28.	If you were selling farmland near the trail, how would your agency view the trail?
	highly desirable feature  _desirable feature _no affect _undesirable feature _highly undesirable feature
29.	Do you have a market strategy based on this view?
30.	What affect does the trail have on the value of farmland next to the trail?
	_increased the value (go to 31) _decreased the value (go to 31) _no affect (go to 32)
31.	value of farmland next to the trail as compared to property not affected by the trail?
	adjacent property is % higher than other property adjacent property is % lower than other property
32.	How has the trail affected the use of adjacent farmland?
33.	Have you experienced any prospective buyers of farmland who were pleased or disappointed with the proximity of the trail?

34.	Are there any positive or negative features of the trail which have influenced farmland valuations?
****	**************************************
35.	Do you have any additional comments about the trail in regard to land prices?
OPTIC	NAL: To identity additional real estate agents.
36.	Can you suggest any other real estate agents who are familiar with property values near the trail?

# APPENDIX H NEWSPAPER ARTICLES

Proposed recreation trail snarled in tangles of public opinion





a speciation is supported by the second of t

## Completed section converts trail foes

By Dennis Brackin Staff Writer

The Luce Line Corridor runs right past the backyard of Thomas Perbix's Wayzota home. When plans for the 3-foot-wide recreation trail were asnounced in the sairy 1970s, Perbix admits, he was coacerned.

Now, after almost six years of working hiters, bikers, joggers and horseback riders stream past, he says his original fears, for the most part, have proved unfounded. Unruly teen agers, late-night partitles and litterbugs have been rare.

"I was probably more pessimistic than most," Perblx sold. "I wasn't opposed to the trail liteft. I was opposed as far as wandering how they would control it and police it. But it's been super. In fact, I can't think of one bad thing to say."

Perbix's feelings are shared by many along the 28-mile linished stretch of the Luce Line that runs from western Plymouth to Win-sted.

"I thought there would be an awful lot of debris, but it's been well laken care of," said Richard Sterner of Winsted, who, like Perbix, was originally opposed to the trail. "The people I talk to who live along the trail are quite pleased. I had mixed emotions prior to this."

"Nothing's changed," said Jim Baird Sr., who operates a farm just west of Winsted. "We're still " ! strongly against it."

Baird and farmers such as Irvin Daird and farmers such as Irvin
Dostal, whose flutchinson farm is
cut almost diagonally by the trail,
believe their tarms are being hurt
financially by the state holding
onto the Luce Line properly. Dostal figures farmers are losing valuable crop land and preclous plowing time because finely fields are
divided by the DNR property.

What's more, Dostal says, the state hasn't done enough to keep up the troll in unfinished areus west of Winsted

"The state doesn't have money to own something like this," he said. "There are weeds all over (the trait). We spend thousands of dolars killing weeds in our fields and they're spreading weeds."

4 10 15 1 4 1

time, but I've changed my mind completely."

Sterner, however, would advise the Department of Natural Resources to think twice before extending the trail further. And opposition by farmers west of Winsted remains at the same fevered pitch it was when the DNR first disclosed its plane. plans, i:

A DNR spokesman admitted that a lot of work remains to be done on the unfinished western section of the Luce Line. The DNR sprays for weeds, but often only after com-plaints by local farmers.

Recreation supporters believe the farmers' attitudes would change if the Luce Line were completed as it is from Plymouth to Winstein ecocy, where crushed limestone covers, the joggling-liking-liking path and a parallel puth for horseback riding will be completed over the entire stretch by the end of summer.

However, propolents say, as long as farmers feel the Luce Line is hurting them financially, their attitudes will be hard to cliange, even if they look to the east and see public satisfaction with the trail.

City officials in both Wayrata and Orono say they are aware of few complaints regarding the trait.

"Fronkly, we're quite pleased with the Luce Line Irrail," Orono Mayor Drad Van Next Said, "I wopfart say there haven't been any problems. But all in all, from our perspective, it's been a worthwitte facility for our residents and other residents in the mutro area."

Wayzala Mayor Bill Humphrey said: "A few people spoke up (when the Luce Line was being planned) and said they didn't want people running adjacent to their backyards. But I haven't heard much the last few years. I think most people enjoy it."

Some contend the Luce Line In-

Minneapolis 261 , Luce Line Trail Wetertown Tar 5 a agent

Stall Map .....

our backyard."

Some in Orono are concerned by rumors that Northern States Proceed.

Co. has cusement highes about the trail and will some conserved this vollage power libres. Sleve London, in NST spacksman, contraved this the company has ease ment rigues on the tant extending a comple of miles beyond the Heimephi County border (the result of buying the land from the rail toad and then selling it to the DNIC) but said NST has no plans for high-voltage transformer concern to Ormor residents are reports of hashers exposing themselves to hikers and loggests. "Dut I hate to say that's a Luce Line problem, because we've had exposures elsewhere in the city, too," said Orono Police Chief Mel-vin Killon, "Lately, there haven I been many problems with the trail brought to police attention."

Remitt and Margaret Elshaper, who have been residents of Orono for 30 years, believe the trail is now being used the way to DNR latended it to be. The Elshapers three-are country times is located next to the Line Line. The Irail overlooks a picturesque valley where the couple constructed a pond and planted about 500 evergeren trees 15 years ago. The pond is a natural drawing point for passers-by.

creases real estate value in the finished areas

"As far as the MLS (Muttiple List-lag Service) is concerned, wheney-er a house backs up to the Luce Line, it's advertised as such," said Search Silver, a Wavarda real existe agent, "They don't my to hade it at all. I'm sure it host? decreased value at oil."

To be sure, not every one likes the Luce Line, Stanley Hagen flyes a few houses down from Pethix in Wayzula and has a firm opinion.

The never liked it," Hapen said.
"The had problems with it. Everyonce in a will be we've had motioned 
to back here, and sow mobiles 
in the winter, and of course. 
they're not allowed. Before we put 
up a fence, we used to have people 
cutting through our yard all the 
time. They could just as well have 
made a monorall going through 
our backyard."

In the mid-1970s, before the trait was fully developed. Normit Elsinger sald there were problems with noise and litter from parties that took place on the trail.

"But once it was fully developed." "Dut once it was fully developed and the nore people became awar of it and uned it, I think it's been prefix well used as it was intended to be." The stid. "We see a lot of traffic, but it's the proper kind—blikers, ingages and hikers. In the early stages, people would stop and throw bottles and rocks at the pond. We don't see much of that anymore.

"I was hanny when Hey converted It to a public trail. If it hadn't been done, they never would have pri-ten another chance for something like this."



ato Shavor and Davo Roador Joggod along the Luce Line trail.

### APPENDIX I

### OTHER REFERENCES

#### OTHER REFERENCES

- Ehly, A1 E. 1972, April. "Railroad Right-of-Ways." Park & Recreation. 7(4):28-30.
- Espeseth, Robert D. 1976, April. "Linear Recreation Ways." Park & Recreation. 11(4):26-27,38-39.
- Goodell, Frank C., ed. 1979, Spring. "The National Trails System." Trends. 16(2):12.
- Harbridge House, Inc. 1977, January 17. Availability and Use of Abandoned Rights-of-Way: Task 1. Alternate Use Suitability Criteria. U.S. Department of Commerce. National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia.
- <u>and Local Programs</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce. National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia.
- . <u>Task 4. C. Case Studies of Railroad Abandonments</u>. U.S. Department of Commerce. National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia.
- Task 5. Public Use of Abandoned Rights-of-Way. U.S. Department of Commerce. National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia.
- Hartley, David, ed. 1979, December. "Ready-Made Bike Paths? Almost. Using Abandoned Rail Rights-of-Way." Sunset Magazine. 163(6):46,48,52.
- Holsteen, Mark A. 1985. "The Planning Process Utilized in the Conversion of Abandoned Railroad Rights-of-Way for Recreational Purposes." Master's Thesis. Kansas State University. Manhattan, Kansas.
- Kupferer, Kathryn. 1986, May/June. "Rails-to-Trails Conservancy."
  Landscape Architecture. 76(3):15-16.
- Mozer, David. 1986, October. "The Burke-Gilman Trail." <u>Trailblazer</u>. The Rails-to-Trails Conservancy. Washington, D.C. pp. 3,4.
- The Rails-to-Trails Conservancy. 1987, January. "Missouri Seeks 200 Mile Rail-Trail." <u>Trailblazer</u>. The Rails-to-Trails Conservancy. Washington, D.C. 2(1):1,5.
- Smithee, Kenneth J., Joe Brown, and William C. Birdsell. 1979, Spring. "Linear Park Management Challenges: Three Perspectives." <u>Trends</u>. 16(2):32-36.

- Tiedt, Glenn F. 1980, April. "From Rails to Trails and Back Again: A Look at the Conversion Program." Parks & Recreation. 15(4):43-47,69,81.
- U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation. 1967, April. Outdoor Recreation Trends. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C.
- . 1975. Bicycling. Washington, D.C.
- and U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. 1975.

  National Scenic and Recreational Trails. U.S. Government Printing
  Office. Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of Transportation. 1977, June. <u>Availability and Use of Abandoned Railroad Rights-of-Way</u>. Washington, D.C.
- Winslow, Darrell G. 1980, Spring. "The W & OD Railroad Regional Park." Trends. 17(2):34-36.